

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,236	03/01/2000	William A. Aiello	1999-0053	3274
75	7590 12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
Samuel H Dworetsky			ZIA, MOSSADEQ	
AT&T Corp P O Box 4110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Middletown, NJ 07748-4110			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	, 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1729				
X .	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Occurrence	09/516,236	AIELLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mossadeq Zia	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u>March 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the  37 CFR 1.78.	st of the certified copies not r stic priority under 35 U.S.C. { first sentence of the specifica	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet.				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of</li> </ul>	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9, 11-15, 20, 22-26, 31, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patent No. 5,153,919, Reeds, III et al.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, 23, Reeds discloses a method of provisioning a user's broadband telephony interface comprising the steps of:

Receiving the information authenticating a provisioning server (base station, Reeds, col. 3, line 21-23);

Establishing a communication channel between the user and the provisioning server over which is transmitted authorization information from the user to the provisioning server (Reeds, col. 3, line 20-24); and

Encrypting (hashing, Reeds, col. 3, line 15-16) and transmitting a cryptographic key (authentication string, Reeds, col. 5, line 60-61) associated with the user (mobile unit) to the provisioning server (Reeds, col. 3, line 15-16).

- 4. Regarding claims 2, 13, 24, Reeds discloses claim 1 above, and further disclose that the communication channel is a voice channel connection (Reeds, col. 9, line 35-26).
- 5. Regarding claims 3, 14, 25, Reeds discloses claim 2, 13, 24 above, and further disclose that the communication channel is encrypted using an audio channel key (SSD-B, Reeds, col. 6,

Art Unit: 2134

line 9-11, 14-16, col. 9, line 46-47) which is encrypted and transmitted to the provisioning server prior to establishing the communication channel.

- 6. Regarding claims 4, 15, 26, Reeds discloses claim 3, 14, 25 above, and further disclose that the cryptographic key associated with the user is encrypted using a session key (Group A and B, Reeds, col. 9, line 44-48) which is encrypted and transmitted to the provisioning server prior to establishing the communication channel.
- 7. Regarding claims 9, 20, 31, Reeds discloses claim 1 above, and further disclose cryptographic key associated with the user is a symmetric key (Reeds, col. 10, line 23-24).
- 8. Regarding claim 11, 22, 33, Reeds discloses claim 1 above, and further disclose a hash in included with each transmission (Reed, col. 12, line 48-51).
- Regarding claim 12, Reeds discloses a broadband telephony interface comprising:

   a first interface to a user telephone (mobile unit, Reeds, fig. 1, element 22, col. 4, line

   20);

a second interface to a communication network (common carrier) with access to a provisioning server (base station) (Reeds, fig. 1, element 10, col. 4, line 10-11, 16-18); memory for storing cryptographic keys (Reeds, col. 4, line 43-44);

a processor connecting to the memory and the first and second interfaces for executing program instructions, the program instructions causing the processor to perform the steps of (Reeds, fig. 11):

Receiving the information authenticating a provisioning server (base station, Reeds, col. 3, line 19-22);

Art Unit: 2134

Establishing a communication channel between the user and the provisioning server over which is transmitted authorization information from the user to the provisioning server (Reeds, col. 3, line 20-24); and

Encrypting (hashing, Reeds, col. 3, line 15-16) and transmitting a cryptographic key (authentication string, Reeds, col. 5, line 60-61) associated with the user (mobile unit) to the provisioning server (Reeds, col. 3, line 15-16).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 5-8, 10, 16-19, 21, 27-30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patent No. 5,153,919, Reeds, III et al in view of "Background of the Invention" of Patent No. 5,153,919, Reeds, III et al.
- 12. Regarding claims 5, 16, 27, Reeds discloses claim 4, 15, 26 above but fails to clearly disclose that the session key and the audio channel key are encrypted using a cryptographic key that is encrypted using a cryptographic key associated wit the provisioning server and the transmitted to the provisioning server with the encrypted session and audio channel key.

Reed's Background teaches an authentication technique when party A wishes to communicate with party B, it sends to authentication server AS his own name, the name of party B and a transaction identifier. Server AS returns the name of party B, a session key (session and

Art Unit: 2134

audio channel key), the transaction identifier and a message encrypted with B's key. All that information is encrypted with A's key. Party A receives the information, decrypts it, selects the portion that is encrypted with B's key (cryptographic key associated wit the provisioning server) and forwards that portion to party B. Party B decrypts the received messages and find it the name of party A and the session key. A last check is made by party B issuing a challenge to party A and party A replies, using the session key (Reeds, col.2, line 59-68, col. 3, line 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Reeds as per teaching of "Background of the Invention" to include the above authentication technique to prevent replays (Reeds, col. 3, line 1-2).

- 13. Regarding claims 6, 17, 28, Reeds discloses claim 5, 16, 27 above and further disclose that the cryptographic key associated with the provisioning server is received with the information authenticating the provisioning server (Reeds, col. 2, line 67-68, col. 3, line 1).
- 14. Regarding claims 7, 18, 29, Reeds discloses claim 6 above and further disclose that a random nonce (transaction identifier) is included with the encrypted session key (Reeds, col. 2, line 63-65).
- 15. Regarding claims 8, 19, 30, Reeds disclose claim 1 above, but fails to disclose that the information authenticating the provisioning server is a digital certificate.

Reed's Background teach public key cryptography where a mobile station would provided with a public key certificate (digital certificate) of identity, signed by the public key of the service provider (provisioning server), stating that the mobile station is a legitimate customer of the service provider (Reeds, col. 2, line 20-24).

Art Unit: 2134

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Reeds as per teaching of "Background of the Invention" to include public key cryptography to provide another standard class of ways to for solving authentication problems (Reeds, col. 2, line 17-18).

16. Regarding claims 10, 21, 32, Reeds disclose claim 1 above, but fails to disclose that the cryptographic key associated with the user is a public key corresponding to a private key stored in the broadband telephony interface.

Reed's Background teach that mobile station using public key cryptography where it would be given secret data (private keys) which it can use, together with a certificate, to prove to third parties (such as the serving system) that it is a legitimate user (Reeds, col. 2, line 24-27).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Reeds as per teaching of "Background of the Invention" to include public key cryptography to provide another standard class of ways to for solving authentication problems (Reeds, col. 2, line 17-18).

#### Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mossadeq Zia whose telephone number is 703-305-8425. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-3900.

> Mossadeq Zia Examiner Art Unit 2134

mz 12/9/03

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 200